UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,111	05/19/2006	Takashi Nomura	029267.57737US	7506
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 10/10/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE	
	N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/580,111	NOMURA, TAKASHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GERTRUDE ARTHUR JEANGLAUD	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	av 2006.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5,7,9,13 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4,6,8,10-12,14 and 15 is/are objected. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. ed to.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/19/06;12/05/07;5/01/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/580,111 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 13, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirono (U.S. Patent No. 6,246,958).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 13,16, Hirono discloses a navigation apparatus comprising a storage device (See Fig. 2, #22, 23) in which first data and second data that are different type of data from the first data are stored; and a control device (Fig. 5, # 42) that controls road guidance including map display (output display; # 45 in Fig. 5) by using the first data and the second data, wherein: the control device updates the first data and the second data (See col. 9, lines 15-31, 45-55; col.10, lines 37-49) but fails to specifically disclose that the first data is updated in a first update unit and the second data is updated in a second update unit. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Hirono by having a first and a second update unit for updating the first data and the second data in order to have the most recent date and time data.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 6, 8, 10-12, 14-15, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose the first update unit represents a predetermined map range and the second update unit represents a range greater than the predetermined map range.

Claims 17-18 are allowed. The prior art fails to disclose the first update unit represents a predetermined map range and the second update unit represents a range greater than the predetermined map range; nor does it disclose displaying an update range specification screen in which an update range over which at least either the first data or the second data re to be updated is specified;

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Odom (U.S. Patent No. 7,034,791)

Kusama (U.S. Pub No. 20020013659)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GERTRUDE ARTHUR JEANGLAUD whose telephone number is (571)272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/580,111 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3661